

**PUSAT PENGURUSAN KUALITI UNIVERSITI (PPQ)**

**NAMA DOKUMEN : PENGGUNAAN TEMPLAT LAPORAN PENILAIAN  
OLEH PENASIHAT LUAR DAN  
PENASIHAT INDUSTRI**


**NOMBOR RUJUKAN : MBOT 600-8/5/1 (64)**

**EDISI : -**

**TARIKH KUATKUASA : 9 MAC 2023**

**DILULUSKAN**

Tandatangan:



Nama: Prof. Madya Dr. Noorul Azwin Binti Md Nasir

Jawatan: Timbalan Pengarah PPQ

Tarikh: 12 Mac 2023

Ruj. : MBOT 600-8/5/1 (64)  
Tarikh : 9 Mac 2023

## KEPADA SEMUA PEMBERI PENDIDIKAN

Tuan/Puan,

### PENGGUNAAN TEMPLAT LAPORAN PENILAIAN OLEH PENASIHAT LUAR DAN PENASIHAT INDUSTRI

Dengan hormatnya saya di arah merujuk kepada perkara di atas.

2. Selaras dengan usaha Majlis Akreditasi Teknologi dan Teknikal (TTAC) Lembaga Teknologis Malaysia (MBOT) bagi menjamin kualiti program-program akademik dalam bidang teknologi dan teknikal yang diakreditasi oleh TTAC MBOT, Pemberi Pendidikan adalah **DIGALAKKAN** untuk menggunakan templat laporan penilaian oleh Penasihat Luar dan Penasihat Industri seperti yang disediakan oleh TTAC MBOT.

3. Sebarang pertanyaan lanjut, pihak tuan/puan boleh menghubungi Sekretariat Akreditasi Teknologi dan Teknikal (TTAS) MBOT di talian 03-88006210/6211 atau e-mel [ttas@mbot.org.my](mailto:ttas@mbot.org.my).

Perhatian dan kerjasama pihak tuan/puan mengenai perkara ini amat dihargai.

Sekian, terima kasih.

“MALAYSIA MADANI”

“BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA”

Saya yang menjalankan amanah,



(PROFESOR DATO' Ts. DR. ROSLI BIN MOHD YUNUS)

Pengerusi

Majlis Akreditasi Teknologi dan Teknikal

Lembaga Teknologis Malaysia

# ASSESSMENT REPORT BY PROGRAMME ADVISOR

## PROGRAMME DETAILS

<b>Programme Name</b> :	
<b>Name of Education Provider</b> :	
<b>Address</b> :	

## ADVISOR DETAILS

<b>Name</b> :		
<b>Name of Education Provider / Company</b> :		
<b>Address</b> :		
<b>Programme Advisor</b> : (Please tick (/))	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>External Advisor</b>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Industry Advisor</b>

## PROGRAMME NOMENCLATURE

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

## CRITERIA 1: PROGRAMME DESIGN AND DELIVERY

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

Table 1 : Courses Classification Breakdown

NO	ITEM	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT
1	Student Learning Time, SLT-based Credit Hours++		
2	Studies Duration		
3	Theory / Knowledge Related Component		
4	Practical Component		
5	Technology Component		
6	General Component		

Table 2 : Related Courses Review

NO	DETAILS COURSES <i>(Code, Course name, Credit Technology component / general component)</i>	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 2: STUDENT ASSESSMENT**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 3: STUDENT SELECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICE**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 4: TEACHING AND SUPPORT STAFF**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 5: EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 6: PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**CRITERIA 7: QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

NO	COMMENT	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**SUMMARY**

NO	STRENGTH

NO	SUGGESTION FOR IMPROVEMENT

**APPROVAL BY PROGRAMME ADVISOR**

<b>Compliance with the requirements of the Malaysia Board of Technologists</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Complied	<input type="checkbox"/> Complied with amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Not complied
<b>The ability of the Faculty / Institute to implement revision / to offer the proposed programme of study</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Excellent	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Average (recommendations should be executed)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Weak (the necessities must be fulfilled before the programme is submitted for approval and the Faculty/ Institute must meet the stated requirements)	